TEMPLATE 3 – OTM-R Checklist

Case number: 2021ES623552

Name Organisation under review: INSTITUTO DE INVESTIGACIÓN SANITARIA Y BIOMÉDICA DE ALICANTE (ISABIAL)

Organisation's contact details: Mayvic Valencia Zulueta (Human Resources Area). Hospital General Universitario de Alicante. Edificio Gris. Diagnostic center (5th floor). Avda/ Pintor Baeza, 12. Alicante, CP 03010.

SUBMISSION DATE: April 9th, 2021

DATE ENDORSEMENT CHARTER AND CODE: March 12th, 2021

OTM-R Checklist

A specific self-assessment checklist is provided for Open, Transparent and Merit-Based Recruitment (OTM-R). Please report on the status of achievement, also detail on the indicators and the form of measurement used.

OTM-R checklist for organisations					
	Open	Trans- parent	Merit- based	Answer: ++ Yes, completely +/-Yes, substantially -/+ Yes, partially No	*Suggested indicators (or form of measurement)
OTM-R system					
1. Have we published a version of our OTM-R policy online (in the national language and in English)?	x	х	х	-/-	[web link]
2. Do we have an internal guide setting out clear OTM-R procedures and practices for all types of positions?	х	х	х	+/-	[Date of latest update; ensure that it is sent to all staff]

3. Is everyone involved in the process	х	х	х	-/+	Existence of training programs for OTM-R
sufficiently trained in the area of OTM-R?					
4. Do we make (sufficient) use of e-recruitment	х	x		-/-	Web-based tool for (all) the stages in the
tools?					recruitment process
5. Do we have a quality control system for	х	x	х	+/+	Date of the latest internal audit performed
OTM-R in place?					
6. Does our current OTM-R policy encourage	х	x	х	+/-	Trend in the share of applicants from outside the
external candidates to apply?					organisation
7. Is our current OTM-R policy in line with	х	х	х	+/-	Trend in the share of applicants from abroad
policies to attract researchers from abroad?					
8. Is our current OTM-R policy in line with	Х	х	Х	+/+	Trend in the share of applicants among
policies to attract underrepresented groups?					underrepresented groups (frequently women)
9. Is our current OTM-R policy in line with	Х	Х	Х	+/-	Trend in the share of applicants from outside the
policies to provide attractive working					organisation
conditions for researchers?					
10. Do we have means to monitor whether the				+/+	Results on annual revision of OTM-Results
most suitable researchers apply?					
Advertising and application phase					
11. Do we have clear guidelines or templates	Х	х		+/+	-Advertising procedure.
(e.g., EURAXESS) for advertising positions?					-Templates availability.
12. Do we include in the job advertisement	Х	х		+/-	Number of elements referenced/linked
references/links to all the elements foreseen in					
the relevant section of the toolkit?					
13. Do we make full use of EURAXESS to ensure	Х	х		+/-	The share of job adverts posted on EURAXESS.
our research vacancies reach a wider					
audience?					
14. Do we make use of other job advertising	х	х		-/+	Number of job positions published in other webs
tools?					
15. Do we keep the administrative burden to a	х			+/+	Number of documents required
minimum for the candidate?					·
Selection and evaluation phase					
16. Do we have clear rules governing the		х	х	+/+	Statistics on the composition of panels
appointment of selection committees?					
17. Do we have clear rules concerning the		Х	х	+/+	Written guidelines
				,	0
composition of selection committees?					
composition of selection committees? 18. Are the committees sufficiently gender-		x	x	+/+	Men %

19. Do we have clear guidelines for selection committees which help to judge 'merit' in a way that leads to the best candidate being selected?		х	-/+	Written guidelines
Appointment phase				
20. Do we inform all applicants at the end of the selection process?	x		+/-	Applicants informed (after the interviews) (%)
21. Do we provide adequate feedback to interviewees?	x		-/+	Applicants informed (after the interviews) (%)
22. Do we have an appropriate complaints mechanism in place?	x		+/+	Statistics on complaints
Overall assessment				
23. Do we have a system in place to assess whether OTM-R delivers on its objectives?			+/-	Date of the latest revision of the OTM-R results